144

SUPERCONDUCTING TRANSITION OF V AND Nb

TABLE II. Observed and calculated values of $\partial T_c/\partial P$.

∂H. calc OT. 'aTe ∂H_c Atomic $(C_s - C_n)_T = T_a$ $\partial P / H = 0$ дP aP °K volume T = TH = 0Ho mJ deg⁻¹ mole⁻¹ 10-3 Oe bar-1 cm^3 Oe 10-6 deg bar-1 10-6 deg bar-1 Element Oe deg-1 V 5.03ª 8.34 69.4ª -455 1310ª 4.1±0.3b 9.0 11 ± 3 2.0±0.2° 44 9.17d 10.80 140^d -421 ± 4 1944^d Nb Ta $-1.2\pm0.3^{\circ}$ 0 ± 3 -2.85 4.39e 42.2° -2.6 ± 1.0^{f} 10.83 825f -334 ± 2 $-0.8\pm0.3^{\circ}$ 2.4 Reference 5. ^b Reference 10. • Reference 8. d Reference 7. • Reference 6. t Reference 9.

in Table II. In order to calculate values of $\partial T_c/\partial P$ using the Maxwell thermodynamic relationship,4

$$\left(\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial P}\right)_{H=0} = -\left(\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial P}\right)_{T=T_c} \left(\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial T}\right)_{P=0}^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

we express the measured values⁵⁻⁷ of $C_s - C_n$ in terms of $(\partial H_c/\partial T)_{T=Tc}$ using the Rutgers relationship,⁴

$$(C_s - C_n)_{T=T_c} = \frac{VT_c}{4\pi} \left(\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial T}\right)_{P=0}^2.$$
 (4)

The values of $\partial H_c/\partial T$, given in Table II, derived in this manner are in good agreement with values obtained from directly measured critical-field curves for vanadium⁵ and tantalum,⁶ but not for niobium.⁷

Using the thermodynamic relationship (4) we have calculated values of $(\partial T_c/\partial P)_{H=0}$, and these are compared in Table II with our observed values. Table II also includes the results for tantalum; $(\partial T_c/\partial P)_{H=0}$ was determined for this element by Hinrichs and Swenson.9 The sign of $(\partial T_c/\partial P)_{H=0}$ obtained for vanadium agrees with that predicted from the thermal-expansion data. The observed magnitude is in better agreement with the value calculated from the thermal-expansion data of Müller and Rohrer,¹⁰ rather than the value determined from the data of White.8 The calculated value of $(\partial T_c/\partial P)_{H=0}$ for niobium is about the limit of our experimental sensitivity and is, therefore, not inconsistent with the zero pressure dependence observed. The experimental results of Hinrichs and Swenson⁹ are also in good agreement with the calculated value.

The effect of applying pressure to a superconductor, until recently, had always been associated with an observed decrease in the superconducting transition temperature.¹¹ However, a number of superconductors

¹⁰ J. Müller and H. Rohrer, Helv. Phys. Acta. 31, 289 (1958).

(Zr,¹² La,¹³ U,¹⁴ and V¹⁵) have now been found to exhibit a positive $\partial T_c/\partial P$. We may attempt to understand this difference in sign of the pressure dependence of the superconducting transition temperature by considering the volume derivative of the BCS¹⁶ relationship,

$$T_c = 0.85\Theta_D \exp(-1/A),$$
 (5)

235

with A = N(0)V, where N(0) is the density of electron states at the Fermi surface and V is the attractive electron-electron interaction parameter. Differentiation of (5) with respect to volume gives

$$\frac{\partial \ln T_e}{\partial \ln v} = \varphi \ln \left(\frac{0.85 \Theta_D}{T_e} \right) - \gamma_G, \qquad (6)$$

where $\varphi = \partial \ln A / \partial \ln v$ and γ_G , the Grüneisen constant, represents the volume dependence of the phonon spectrum. Rewriting $\partial \ln T_c / \partial \ln v$ in terms of $\partial T_c / \partial P$ we have

$$\frac{\partial T_{e}}{\partial P} = -|K| T_{e} \left\{ \varphi \ln \left(\frac{0.85\Theta_{D}}{T_{e}} \right) - \gamma_{G} \right\}, \qquad (7)$$

where K is the compressibility.

The pressure dependence of the phonon spectrum is such as to increase T_c and will be roughly the same for all elements since γ_{G} has, in general, values between 1 and 3. Since $\ln(0.85\Theta_D/T_c)$ lies in the range 2.5 to 6.5 for most superconductors the sign and magnitude of $\partial T_c/\partial P$ is determined by φ . Rohrer¹⁷ has pointed out that for nontransition metal superconductors φ is roughly constant and equal to 2.5 ± 0.5 . However, when we consider the behavior of the transition metal superconductors there is considerable variation both in the magnitude and the sign of φ .^{18,19} Olsen and his co-

¹⁶ J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. 108, 1175 (1957)

17 H. Rohrer, Helv. Phys. Acta 33, 675 (1960).

¹⁸ J. L. Olsen, E. Bucher, M. Levy, J. Müller, E. Corenzwit, and T. Geballe, Rev. Mod. Phys. 36, 168 (1964).
¹⁹ E. Bucher, J. Müller, J. L. Olsen, and G. Palmy, Phys. Letters 15, 303 (1965).

the state of insensitivity sample was g group VB

erved values determined nship for a

(1)

insion coeffi-(n) and the measured at ure in zero experimental there are no al expansion 1 in the nor-



ransition sure.

of $(\partial H_c/\partial P)$

(2)

me between ates. Unforand to hyss, could not to be estihis calculats are given

y F. Seitz and 960), Vol. 11,

lge University f the necessary

waite, and A.

Rev. 109, 797

Rev. 109, 788

144

⁹C. H. Hinrichs and C. A. Swenson, Phys. Rev. 123, 1106 (1961).

¹¹ J. Muller and H. Konrer, Helv. Phys. Acta. 31, 289 (1958). ¹² An exception to this generalization is thallium which shows a slight increase in T_e for applied pressures up to 2 kbar [Ref. 1; J. Hatton, Phys. Rev. 103, 1167 (1956); and I. D. Jennings and C. A. Swenson, Phys. Rev. 112, 31 (1958)]. Further application of pressure then causes T_e to decrease. Jennings and Swenson have explained this behavior as a consequence of the highly enjoy have explained this behavior as a consequence of the highly anisotropic nature of the physical properties of thallium.

¹² N. B. Brandt and N. I. Ginzburg, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz. 46, 1212 (1964) [English transl.: Soviet Phys.—JETP 19, 823

^{(1964)].} ¹³ W. E. Gardner and T. F. Smith, Phys. Rev. 138, A484 (1965). 14 T. F. Smith and W. E. Gardner, Phys. Rev. 140, A1620 (1965). 15 Present work.